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Two 2-alkenyl-3-hydroxyalkyl-1,4-dimethoxynaphthalenes are cyclised with potassium t -butoxide in dimethylformamide to give 3 -alkyl-3,4-dihydro-5,10-dimethoxynaphtho [2,3-c]pyrans under anaerobic conditions. One of these products is treated with the same solvent and base, but in air, to give the two possible 4-hydroxy derivatives.

We recently reported ${ }^{1}$ the oxidative cyclisation of the naphthalene dimethyl ether (1) with cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate to give a mixture of the isomeric quinones (2) and (3), the latter predominating. These quinones are related to the aphid pigments, e.g. protoaphin $f b$ and protoaphin $s l$. The trans-prop-1-enyl substituent of (1) was derived from propyl by bromination-dehydrobromination but, for this particular system, certain difficulties were encountered which prompted us to seek alternative routes to (1) by conjugation of an allyl group. This has been achieved and, in the course of this investigation, novel cyclisations of compounds related to (1) were discovered which are useful in the synthesis of naturally occurring quinones. The work leading to these cyclisations is described in this paper.

## Results and Discussion

2-Acetyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (4) was allylated with commercially available vinylacetic acid in the presence of silver nitrate and potassium peroxodisulphate to give the quinone (5) in $43 \%$ yield. Small quantities of a second yellow product, isomeric with (5) and difficult to separate from it, were observed, but this compound proved not to be quinonoid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum showed, inter alia, a two-proton doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.80(J 1$ and 4 Hz$)$, a one-proton doublet of triplets at $\delta 5.91(J 4$ and 10 Hz$)$, a one-proton doublet of doublets at $\delta 6.78$ ( $J 1$ and 10 Hz ), and a very-low-field oneproton singlet at $\delta 13.86$. This enabled the assignment of structure (6) to the compound, which presumably arises through acid-catalysed enolisation of quinone (5), followed by cyclisation, as shown. Because of the difficulty of separating this compound from the quinone (5), it was characterised as its methyl ether (7), after being carried through the next step of the reaction sequence, where the naphthalenes (7) and (8) were more readily separated.

The quinone (5), contaminated with a little (6), was reductively methylated to the naphthalene dimethyl ether (8) with sodium dithionite followed by dimethyl sulphate and potassium carbonate in acetone. After purification of ketone (8) its allylic double bond was readily conjugated with potassium tbutoxide in tetrahydrofuran (THF) to give the ketone (10) in which the stereochemistry of the resulting double bond was solely trans, as shown by the coupling constant $(16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ of the olefinic protons. This compound was identical with material described earlier. ${ }^{1}$ Reduction of this ketone with lithium aluminium hydride gave the alcohol (1).

In an effort to improve the yield of the allylation, the reaction was performed on the hydroxyethyl quinone (12) and gave compound (13), but the yield ( $42 \%$ ) was similar. The quinone (12) was obtained from 2-acetyl-1,4-dimethoxynaphthalene ${ }^{2}$ by sodium borohydride reduction to the alcohol (11), which was in turn oxidised to the quinone (12) with cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate in excellent yield. The allyl
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quinone was reductively methylated to the alcohol (9). This compound was also available from the ketone (8) by lithium aluminium hydride reduction.

During the course of our work, the allylation of quinone (4) using allyltrimethylstannane and boron trifluoride-diethyl ether was reported, ${ }^{3}$ and the crude product, after methylation, gives considerably improved yields of the ketonic naphthalene (8).

The possibility of conjugation of the allylic double bond of the alcohol (9) to give the isomer (1) was also investigated. When treated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dimethylformamide (DMF) under nitrogen with four equivalents of potassium $t$-butoxide, starting material was rapidly consumed with the initial formation of one product almost exclusively. The reaction was conveniently monitored by t.l.c. After two minutes the reaction mixture showed only one product, although a small proportion of starting material remained. After five minutes all starting material had been consumed, although traces of a product of slightly higher $R_{\mathbf{F}}$ value than the first product
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isolated earlier ${ }^{1}$ as intermediates in the cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate oxidative cyclisation of the naphthalene (1) to the isomeric quinones (2) and (3), but it is noteworthy that, in the cerium reaction, the product with the pseudo-axial ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ) hydroxy group predominated, the reverse being true in the base-induced reaction, where the compound with the hydroxy group pseudo-equatorial ( $e^{\prime}$ ) was more abundant. ${ }^{5.6}$

The hydroxynaphthopyrans (18) and (19) were most conveniently prepared from the trans-dimethylpyran (16) by treating this compound with potassium t-butoxide in DMF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of air for 2 h . Under these conditions three products were formed. These were the cis-naphthopyran (14), formed in $12 \%$ yield, and the isomeric 4 -hydroxypyrans (18) $(28 \%)$ and (19) $(7 \%)$. Starting material was isolated in $23 \%$ recovery. The yields of products, based on consumed starting material, were 16,36 , and $9 \%$, respectively.

It was imperative to establish the relative stereochemistry of the three chiral centres in compounds (18) and (19) beyond doubt, as the possibility arose that the C-1 methyl was pseudoequatorial (and not pseudo-axial as drawn), which would be due to hydroxylation of the cis pyran (14) having taken place. This proved not to be the case. The stereochemistry was easy to confirm at C-3 and C-4 for compounds (18) and (19) on the reasonable assumption that the C-3 methyl adopted the equatorial configuration in each case. The coupling constant of 8 Hz between $3-\mathrm{H}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ for (18) confirmed the former as axial and the latter as pseudo-axial. For (19), the smaller coupling constant of 2 Hz , indicated a smaller dihedral angle which, with $3-\mathrm{H}$ again axial, required $4-\mathrm{H}$ pseudo-equatorial. However, for the naphthopyrans (18) and (19), the long-range coupling between $1-\mathrm{H}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ is too small to enable the definitive assignment of stereochemistry at C-1. For crystalline (19), the stereochemistry was established by m.p. and mixed m.p. comparison with authentic (19). ${ }^{1}$ The assignments of structures (18) and (19) were confirmed by oxidation to the quinones (2) and (3). In the former, long-range coupling between $1-\mathrm{H}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ was apparent in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum, which was identical with that reported. ${ }^{1}$ In the spectrum of the
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latter, no long-range coupling was observed. M.p.s and mixed m.p.s were also identical in each case.

Further support for the stereochemical assignments was adduced from the fact that when the cis-dimethylnaphthopyran (14) was treated with base in DMF under aerobic conditions as for (16) above, no reaction took place, even when the mixture was left for 18 h .

The mechanisms of the cyclisation of alcohols (9) and (1) under anaerobic conditions, and the oxygenation of the pyran (16) under aerobic conditions, warrant discussion, although the following explanations can only be tentative and speculative at this stage.

Under the strongly basic conditions generated by butoxide in the dipolar aprotic solvent, the alkoxide anion (20) is produced, which undergoes cyclisation to the trans-dimethylpyran anion (21), favoured kinetically because the methyl group at C-3 prefers the less crowded equatorial position, while that at C-1 goes pseudo-axial to reduce peri interactions. ${ }^{1}$ Anion (21) then undergoes protonation to form the product. Longer treatment with base converts (16) into (14), and this may occur by one of several routes. Compound (16) may be deprotonated at C-4 to afford the anion (21) again, which reverts to (20) before reclosing to form the cis compound (14), or, alternatively, initial protonation of anion (21) to form (16) may not occur, since (21), as soon as it is formed, may ringopen again to (20), which then recyclises to form the thermodynamic product (14). A second possibility is that deprotonation of (16) takes place at C-1 rather than at C-4, to give the anion (22), thermodynamically preferred under the reaction conditions. The former suggestion, giving rise to the anion (21), seems more likely in view of the oxygenation of (16) at C-4 described above.

In the case of the oxygenation reactions the anion (21), regenerated from the pyran (16) can undergo oxidation either to the corresponding carbonium ion followed by reaction with traces of adventitious moisture to form the products, or to the corresponding radical which reacts with molecular oxygen to form the products, or conceivably, the anion may react with molecular oxygen directly.

Further work needs to be undertaken to distinguish between the various mechanistic possibilities.

A further example of the anaerobic cyclisation was sought. Compound (23) 'gave a high yield ( $83 \%$ ) of the naphthopyran (24). The five heterocyclic ring protons gave the following ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectral absorptions: two doublets $(J 16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 5.26$ and 4.84 were assigned to the pseudo-equatorial and pseudoaxial C-1 protons, respectively. A slight broadening of the latter signals relative to the former indicates a slightly greater degree of long-range coupling for the pseudo-axial proton, but no splitting of the signal due to this effect was observed
whatsoever (at normal sweep width). The axial $3-\mathrm{H}$ proton appeared as a multiplet at $\delta 3.5-3.9$ which collapsed to a doublet of doublets ( $J 3.5$ and 11 Hz ) on irradiation of the adjacent propyl methylene. The pseudo-equatorial $4-\mathrm{H}$ gave rise to a doublet of doublets at $\delta 3.10(J 3.5$ and 17 Hz$)$, and the pseudo-axiai $4-\mathrm{H}$ appeared as another doublet of doublets at $\delta 2.66(J 11$ and 17 Hz$)$. The latter signal also indicated broadening relative to that at $\delta 3.10$, but the effect was less obvious than that observed for the 1-H protons because of the increased coupling associated with the $4-\mathrm{H}$ protons.

Cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate oxidative demethylation of (24) afforded the corresponding quinone (25) in high yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of this compound was of particular note in view of the previous reports on the spectra of naphtho-[2,3-c]pyran-5,10-quinones. ${ }^{1,5,6}$ The 5 heterocyclic ring protons gave the following signals: a doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.88$ with coupling constants of 3 and 19 Hz was due to the pseudo-equatorial $1-\mathrm{H}$, this being somewhat deshielded from the pseudo-axial 1-H [see also for compound (24)], the smaller coupling constant being due to splitting by the pseudo-axial (but not pseudo-equatorial) $4-\mathrm{H}$. The pseudo-axial 1-H appeared as a doublet of triplets at $\delta 4.46$, this proton being almost equally coupled ( $J 3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to both $4-\mathrm{H}$ protons. The $3-\mathrm{H}$ signal appeared as a multiplet at $\delta 3.3-3.7$. The pseudoequatorial $4-\mathrm{H}$ appeared as a doublet of triplets at $\delta 2.76$ with coupling constants 3.5 and 19 Hz , the latter being due to geminal coupling, and the former due to approximately equal coupling to the pseudo-axial $1-\mathrm{H}$ and to $3-\mathrm{H}$. The pseudo-axial 4-H appeared at $\delta 2.28$ as a sixteen-line signal; this arose from geminal coupling ( $J 19 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), vicinal coupling to $3-\mathrm{H}(J 10 \mathrm{~Hz})$, coupling to pseudo-equatorial $1-\mathrm{H}(J 3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and coupling to pseudo-axial 1-H ( $J 3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

It is our intention to use the reactions described in this paper for the syntheses of naturally derived naphtho[2,3-c]-pyran- 5,10 -quinones. Whether or not the hydroxylation of naphthopyrans without oxygen at $\mathrm{C}-5$ takes place remains to be seen. If it does, this might provide a route to aphin-derived glucoside B. ${ }^{7}$

## Experimental

All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra were measured for solutions in $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right]-$ chloroform with tetramethylsilane as internal reference, while i.r. spectra were measured for Nujol mulls. Preparative layer chromatography (p.l.c.) was performed on glass plates coated with Merck Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$, while column chromatography refers to dry-packed columns using the same gel ( $70-230$ mesh). Light petroleum refers to the fraction of b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The phrase ' residue obtained upon work-up' refers to the residue when the organic layer was separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure.

2-Acetyl-3-prop-2-enyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (5).-A solution of freshly recrystallised 2 -acetyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (4) (1.00 $\mathrm{g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 35 ml ) containing vinylacetic acid $(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with a solution of silver nitrate $(0.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in water ( 1 ml ). The mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while a solution of potassium peroxodisulphate $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 30 ml ) was added dropwise during 45 min . The mixture was stirred for a further 2 h . The usual work-up ${ }^{\prime}$ afforded a residue which was chromatographed (eluant $10 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum). Early fractions afforded the naphthol (6) ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ ) which was further purified by p.l.c., m.p. $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (light petroleum) (Found: $M^{+}, 240 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M, 240) ; \delta 2.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 4.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1$ and $\left.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 4$ and $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 1$ and $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.5-7.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{and} 9-\mathrm{H}), 8.1-8.2$ and $8.4-8.5$ (each $1-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, together $7-$ and $10-\mathrm{H}$ ), and 13.86
( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-exchangeable). Later fractions afforded the title compound ( 5 ) ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ) as an oil. A portion was rechromatographed for analysis (p.l.c.) Found: C, 74.7; H, 5.4. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $75.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.0 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max. }}$ (neat) 2930,1707 , 1660 , and $1595 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 2.48\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 7$ and $\left.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.0-5.3\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, vinyl $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.6-6.1$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, vinyl CH$), 7.6-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-$ and $7-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.9-$ $8.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-$ and $8-\mathrm{H})$.

2-Acetyl-1,4-dimethoxy-3-prop-2-enylnaphthalene (8) and 5-Acetyl-6-methoxy-2H-naphtho[1,2-b]pyran (7).-A solution of the quinone (5) above ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 50 ml ) was shaken with an aqueous solution of an excess of sodium dithionite ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic layer was dried and evaporated to give a red oil which was immediately dissolved in dry acetone ( 30 ml ) and anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $575 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethyl sulphate [ 525 mg $(0.4 \mathrm{ml}), 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ] were added. The mixture was stirred under nitrogen under reflux for 18 h . The usual work-up gave an oil which was chromatographed (eluant $10 \%$ ethyl acetatelight petroleum) to give, firstly, the dimethyl ether (8) ( 145 mg , $64 \%$ ) as an oil (Found: C, 75.6; H, 6.9. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $75.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.65 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max. }}$ (neat) $3100,1709,1692,1640$, and $1590 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 2.60\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1$ and 6 Hz , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.86 and 3.88 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $4.8-5.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, vinyl $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.7-6.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, vinyl CH$), 7.4-7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, 6 - and $7-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.95-8.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-$ and $8-\mathrm{H})$. Later fractions afforded the naphthopyran (7) as an oil ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%$ ) (Found: C, 75.2; H, 5.6. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $75.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.5 \%$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}$ (neat) $1673 \mathrm{br}, 1628,1601$, and $1585 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 2.64(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1$ and 4 Hz , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 4$ and $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1$ and $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{and} 9-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.9-8.2(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 7-$ and $10-\mathrm{H}$ ).

2-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-1,4-dimethoxy-3-prop-2-enyInaphthalene (9).-(a) To a stirred suspension of lithium aluminium hydride ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry diethyl ether ( 100 ml ) was added the ketone (8) ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at a rapid drip rate. The mixture was stirred for 10 min after the addition was complete, by which time t.l.c. indicated the consumption of all starting material. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride, followed by anhydrous magnesium sulphate. The solid material was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated to give a residue which was chromatographed (eluant $15 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to give the product (9) as an oil ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ), identical with that described below.
(b) A solution of the quinone (13) ( $216 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 75 ml ) was shaken with a solution of an excess of sodium dithionite in water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic layer was dried and stripped of solvent to give a yellow solid. This was immediately dissolved in dry acetone and the solution was stirred under reflux in the presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 7$ equiv.) and dimethyl sulphate ( $0.7 \mathrm{ml}, 7$ equiv.). The mixture was boiled under nitrogen for 24 h . The usual work-up afforded an oil which was chromatographed (eluant $15 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to yield the product (9) ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as an oil (Found: C, 75.2; H, 7.35. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 75.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.35 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max. }} 3420,1638$, and $1594 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\delta 1.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86$ and 3.98 (each $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.9\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$-exchangeable), 4.8-5.15 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, vinyl $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.25\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, 5.85-6.3 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, vinyl CH), 7.35-7.45 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} 5-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}$ ), and $7.9-8.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{and} 7-\mathrm{H})$.

2-Acetyl-1,4-dimethoxy-3-prop-1-enylnaphthalene (10).Compound (8) ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 8.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF
under nitrogen. Solid potassium t-butoxide $(3.73 \mathrm{~g}, 33.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the solution was heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h during which time the nearly colourless solution turned dark red. The solution was treated with aqueous ammonium chloride ( $30 \%$ ) and was extracted with methylene dichloride. The residue obtained upon work-up was chromatographed (eluant $10 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to give the oily product ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ), identical with authentic material. ${ }^{1}$

2-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-1,4-dimethoxynaphthalene (11)-—A solution of 2-acetyl-1,4-dimethoxynaphthalene $(2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ) in ethanol ( 60 ml ) was added to a suspension of an excess of sodium borohydride in the same solvent. The usual work-up gave an oil ( 2.35 g ) which was chromatographed (eluant $15 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to give the product (11) $\left(220 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (light petroleum) (Found: C, $72.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.0 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $72.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.9 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max. }} 3330$ and $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 1.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 2.90$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-exchangeable), 3.82 and 3.90 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H})$, $7.3-7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $7-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.9-8.05$ and $8.1-8.3$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, together $5-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}$ ).

2-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-1,4-naphthoquinone (12).-A solution of compound (11) ( $835 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 80 ml ) and water ( 40 ml ) was treated with a solution of cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate ( $3.945 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 5 ml ) during 5 min . The solution turned purple then yellow. After being stirred for a further 5 min the mixture was extracted with methylene dichloride. The residue obtained upon work-up was chromatographed (eluant ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to give a yellow oil ( $710 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) which crystallised with time, m.p. $88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (as rosettes from light petroleum) (Found: C, 71.4; H, 4.9. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C , $71.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.95 \%) ; v_{\text {max. }} 3500,1662$, and $1592 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 1.52$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.72\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$-exchangeable), $5.02\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 4\right.$ and $\left.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H})$, $7.65-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-$ and $7-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.95-8.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}$ ).
cis-3,4-Dihydro-5,10-dimethoxy-1,3-dimethyl-1 H-naphtho-[2,3-c]pyran (14) and trans-3,4-Dihydro-5,10-dimethoxy-1,3-dimethyl-1H-naphtho[2,3-c]pyran (16).-(a) Nitrogen was bubbled through a solution of the alcohol (9) ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39$ mmol ) in dry DMF ( 10 ml ) for 0.5 h before the addition of potassium t-butoxide ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the addition the flask was immersed in an oil-bath preheated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the contents were stirred, and nitrogen was blown onto the surface of the solution. The reaction was quenched after 5 min by the addition of water ( 30 ml ), and the mixture was cooled and extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The extract was backwashed with water ( 50 ml ) and evaporated to give the product (16) virtually quantitatively, and which was shown to be pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy.
(b) Using the above procedure, the alcohol (9) ( $1.022 \mathrm{~g}, 3.76$ mmol ), dry DMF ( 50 ml ), and base ( $1.686 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were warmed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 1.25 h . Work-up gave an oil ( $0.955 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). A portion ( 135 mg ) was chromatographed by p.l.c. (developer $4 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to give compound (14) as the product with the higher $R_{\mathrm{F}}$ value ( 55 $\mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ) as cubes, m.p. $82-82.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol) (Found: C, $74.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 . \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 74.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 \%$ ) ; $v_{\text {max }}$. $1590 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 1.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11$ and 16 Hz , pseudo-axial $4-\mathrm{H})$, $3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3$ and 16 Hz , pseudo-equatorial $4-\mathrm{H}), 3.4$ $3.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.87$ and $3.92\left(\right.$ each $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{q}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 7.4-7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{and} 8-\mathrm{H})$, and $8.0-8.15$
( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-$ and $9-\mathrm{H}$ ). Compound (16) ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ), of lower $R_{F}$ value, was obtained as needles, m.p. $99.5-100.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (light petroleum) (Found: C, 74.6; H, 7.4\%); $v_{\text {max. }} 1590 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\delta 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11$ and 16 Hz , pseudo-axial $4-\mathrm{H}), 3.11(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.5$ and 16 Hz , pseudo-equatorial $4-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 and 3.90 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.9-4.3 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ ), $5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, $J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.9-8.15$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{and} 9-\mathrm{H}$ ).
( $1 R, 3 R, 4 S$ )-3,4-Dihydro-4-hydroxy-5,10-dimethoxy-1,3-dimethyl-1H-naphtho[2,3-c]pyran (18) and its Enantiomer, and (1R,3R,4R)-3,4-Dihydro-4-hydroxy-5,10-dimethoxy-1,3-di-methyl-1H-naphtho[2,3-c]pyran (19) and its Enantiomer.-(a) From compound (9). Compound (9) ( 89 mg ) and potassium tbutoxide ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 4$ equiv.) were heated together at $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) in DMF ( 15 ml ) in the presence of air for 19 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled, thrown into water, and extracted with diethyl ether. The residue obtained upon workup was chromatographed (eluant $15 \%$ ethyl acetate-light petroleum) to give, firstly, racemic (18) ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ), followed by racemic (19) ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ ). Both (18) and (19) were identical with authentic material.
(b) From compound (16). Air was bubbled through a solution of compound (16) ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 3.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF for 20 min . Potassium t-butoxide ( $1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the flask was immersed in an oil-bath maintained at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the contents were stirred for 2 h . Water was added and the mixture was worked up as for (16) above. Column chromatography gave, firstly, compound (14) ( $134 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{12} \mathrm{\%}$ ), followed by starting material ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ), then compound (18) (323 $\mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ) as an oil. The last compound to be identified was compound (19) ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ ), m.p. $166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{1} 168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), mixed m.p. with authentic material $166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## (1R,3R,4S)-3,4-Dihydro-4-hydroxy-1,3-dimethyl-1H-

 naphtho[2,3-c]pyran-5,10-quinone (2) and its Enantiomer.-A solution of cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 1.5 ml ) was added dropwise, during 5 min , to a vigorously stirred solution of compound (18) ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 20 ml ) and water ( 20 ml ). After the mixture had been stirred for a further 5 min water was added and the solution was extracted with methylene dichloride. Work-up of the organic layer afforded the quinone virtually quantitatively as yellow plates, m.p. $138-138.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methylene dichloride-light petroleum), undepressed by authentic material (lit., ${ }^{1} 137-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
## (1R,3R,4R)-3,4-Dihydro-4-hydroxy-1,3-dimethyl-1H-

 naphtho[2,3-c]pyran-5,10-quinone (3) and its Enantiomer.Compound (19) ( 68 mg ) was oxidised as for (18) above to give the quinone (3) virtually quantitatively as yellow needles, m.p. 148-150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (methylene dichloride-light petroleum), mixed m.p. with authentic material $147.5-149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (lit., ${ }^{1} 146-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).3,4-Dihydro-5,10-dimethoxy-3-propyl-1H-naphtho[2,3-c]pyran (24).-Compound (23) ( 106 mg ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 20 ml ) which had been flushed with nitrogen. Potassium t-butoxide ( 200 mg ) was added and the reaction mixture, under nitrogen, was immersed in an oil-bath, maintained at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for 20 min . Work-up as for (16) above gave the product (24) ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ), m.p. $104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.75 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 75.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.75 \%$ ) ; $\delta 1.00$ ( 3 H , distorted t, $J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.64\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.66$ ( 1 H , dd, $J 11$ and 17 Hz , pseudo-axial 4-H), $3.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.5$ and 17 Hz , pseudo-equatorial $4-\mathrm{H}), 3.5-3.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $3-\mathrm{H}), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pseudo-axial 1-H), $5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pseudo-equatorial $1-\mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7$ - and $8-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.9-8.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{and} 9-\mathrm{H})$.

## 3,4-Dihydro-3-propyl-1 H-naphtho[2,3-c]pyran-5,10-quinone

 (25).-To a stirred solution of compound (24) (104 mg) in acetonitrile ( 15 ml ) and water ( 5 ml ) was added a solution of cerium(Iv) ammonium nitrate ( 442 mg ) in water ( 1 ml ) during 10 min . Water was then added and the mixture was extracted with methylene dichloride to give the product (25) ( 83 mg , $90 \%$ ), m.p. $123-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol-chloroform) (Found: C, $74.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.1 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 74.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 \%$ ); $\delta 0.98$ (3 H , distorted $\left.\mathrm{t}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-1.95\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 3,3.5,10$, and 19 Hz , pseudo-axial $4-\mathrm{H})$, $2.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 3.5$ and 19 Hz , pseudo-equatorial $4-\mathrm{H})$, 3.3$3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 3.5$ and 19 Hz , pseudo-axial $1-\mathrm{H}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3$ and 19 Hz , pseudo-equatorial 1-H), $7.6-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H})$, and $7.95-8.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{and}$ 9-H).
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